Adaptation of SPARK to atmospheric-pressure micro-plasma jet flow conditions



Duarte Gonçalves^{a,b}, João Santos Sousa^a, Stéphane Pasquiers^a, Mário Lino da Silva^b, Luís Lemos Alves^b

a: Université Paris-Saclay, CNRS, Laboratoire de Physique des Gaz et des Plasmas – ORSAY (France) b: Instituto de Plasmas e Fusão Nuclear, Instituto Superior Técnico, Universidade de Lisboa - LISBON (Portugal)

Scheme of plasma jet reactor



Core adaptations to SPARK: Software Platform for Aerothermodynamics Radiation and Kinetics [1]



Problems include:

high resolution meshes;

3.Slow convergence.

1.Long computation times for

2.High numerical dissipation;

$$\frac{\partial \rho_s}{\partial t} + \vec{\nabla} \cdot (\rho_s \vec{u}) = \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{J_s} + \vec{\omega_s}$$

$$\frac{\rho \vec{u}}{\partial t} + \vec{\nabla} \cdot (\rho \vec{u} \otimes \vec{u}) = \vec{\nabla} \cdot [\tau] - \vec{\nabla} P$$

$$\frac{\partial(\rho E)}{\partial t} + \vec{\nabla} \cdot (\rho \vec{u} E) = \vec{\nabla} \cdot \left(\sum_{k} q_{C_k} + \sum_{k} \vec{J}_s h_s + \vec{u} \cdot [\tau] - Pu\right) + \vec{v} \cdot \vec{v}$$

$$\frac{\partial(\rho\epsilon_k)}{\partial t} + \vec{\nabla} \cdot (\rho\vec{u}h_k) = \vec{\nabla} \cdot (q_{C_k} + q_{D_k}) + \dot{Q_k}$$

How is a plasma created?

50 sccm

With an energy source in the free-electron energy equation (green). This **increases electron temperature** which favours electron-impact reactions that in turn create excited species, e.g. Ar(4S) species.



Simulations of inviscid jet, pure argon gas, argon kinetic scheme. An electron energy source was place at (R, Z) = (0, 0.05) m.

900 sccm

Z

- 1.2e+00

- 1.17

- 1.16

1.12

- Demonstration that **SPARK can simulate**: • Jet flows under **subsonic conditions**; • Non-equilibrium between gas and freeelectron temperature;
- Chemical non-equilibrium;

3 Lower dissipation: SLAU solver [3], WENO-5 reconstruction [4]

SLAU is a flux solver formulated to have the numerical dissipation term with better scaling for low-Mach flows.

Simulations after improvements 1 to 5

WENO-5 is a 5th order spatial reconstruction method that allows for higher accuracy, or equivalently low dissipation, for lower cell count while reducing oscillations youtu.be/3Rchox-MDAU

Simulation of inviscid jet flow, perfect gas model

From a diffusive to an unstable jet, ρ (Kg m⁻³)

- 1.2e+00

- 1.17

1.15

1.14

1.12

- 1.11

300 sccm

Flow instabilities appear due to numerical oscillations or reflected acoustic waves, and instead of being dissipated (as in step 1) they remain due to the low numerical dissipation. They appear at the nozzle and are amplified along the jet

speed up = (simulation time with 1 thread) / (simulation time with # threads)

From the scaling tests we can see that:

• OpenMP is a **simple parallelization** method that leads to significant results;

(<u>-</u> -21.0

- It is possible to consistently obtain ~6x speed ups;
- If the computation servers have hyperthreading enabled, scaling test might show inconsistent results.

4 Preconditioning [5]

For inviscid flows: $\lambda_{max} = \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{c}$

u: flow velocity

 $\lambda_{\min} = \mathbf{u}$ c: speed of sound If **u** << **c** the equations become stiff and convergence is slow

Preconditioning speeds up convergence by making $\lambda_{max} \approx \lambda_{min}$



5 Uniform grouping in Ar kinetic scheme

A **macro state** is created by grouping some states weighted by their statistical weights. Forward rate and backward rate coefficients are calculated, respectively, by simple and weighted sum of the original rate coefficients.

The macro states in our argon kinetic scheme are:

- Ar(4p) from the grouping of Ar(4pi) states;
- Ar* from the grouping of Ar(5pi), Ar(3d+5s), Ar(3d'+5s').

13.0 13.1 13.2 13.3 13.4 13.5 E(eV)

Comparison between reduced and original scheme

Using LoKI B+C [6] with gas pressure = 1 atm, gas temperature = 300 K



700 sccm

- 1.2e+00

1.165

- 1.16

1.155

1.15

1.145

1.14

t 1e-04 1e-05 1e-06 1e-07 1,500 2,000 2,500 3,000 Iteration 1.000









Reduced

Original



With varying flow rate we observe the **same qualitative behavior** as with Schlieren experiments performed at LPGP. For low flow rates, below 100sccm, we obtain a **diffusive jet**. At intermediate flow rates a long **laminar jet** is present. At high flow rates +600sccm coherent structures appear and are amplified along the **unstable jet**.

12.9 13.0 13.1 13.2 13.3 13.4 13.5 E(eV)

Near the nozzle the simulated jet depicts a "cone" velocity profile (see fig. a) in which the velocity drops slowly. The axisymmetric instabilities seem to become more noticeable closer to the end of the velocity "cone" (see fig. b).

Macroscopic streamer model to couple to SPARK

- 1.2e+00

- 1.17

- 1.16

- 1.15

- 1.14

1.13

- 1.12

- 1.11

2D resistive model example

Electric field in v



3D resistive model example







[1] Bruno Lopez, Mario Lino da Silva, 46th AIAA Thermophysics Conference, 4025, 2016
[2] Leonardo Daum, Ramesh Menon, Computational Science & Engineering Vol. 5, 1, 46, 1998
[3] Eiji Shima and Keiichi Kitamura, AIAA Journal Vol. 49, 8, 1693, 2011
[4] Chi-Wang Shu, NASA/CR-97-206253 ICASE Report No. 97-65, 1997
[5] Jonathan M. Weiss and Wayne A. Smith, AIAA Journal Vol. 33, 11, 2050, 1995
[6] A Tejero-del-Caz et al, Plasma Sources Sci. Technol. 28, 043001 2019 [7] Hideku Takayasu, Physical Review Letters, Vol. 54, 11, 1099, 1985 [8] Akira Sasaki et al , Japanese Journal of Applied Physics, 55, 026101, 2016